



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING                | G DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 09/437,694             | 11/1                  | 0/1999     | KENICHI NAGAWASA     | B208-346 DIV            | 8328              |  |  |
| 26272                  | 7590                  | 06/03/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                   |  |  |
|                        | ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY |            |                      |                         | NGUYEN, HUY THANH |  |  |
| 2ND FLOO:<br>330 MADIS | R<br>ON AVENUI        | E          | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                   |  |  |
| NEW YORK               | K, NY 1001            | 7 .        | 2615                 | 2615                    |                   |  |  |
|                        |                       |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004 |                   |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/437,694   | NAGAWASA ET AL   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | HUY T NGUYEN   | 2615   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which  | ntion. A proper reply places the applica   | y to a<br>tion in   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply a cellater than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF  | Brief must be filed within the pe  | eriod set forth in fithe appeal.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | ,  | ,,   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or sir   | nplifying the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without cancelli  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claim   | S.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  | , ,  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ion(s): USC 112 first pararaph.  | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  | parate, timely filed   | amendment   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  | reconsideration has been consideration.  | dered but does NO  | T place the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were  | e newly   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo   | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)<br>ould be rejected is provided belo   | ☐ will be entered a<br>w or appended.  | ind an  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>38-43</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr   | oved or b) disapproved by the  | ne Examiner  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  | (5)(1 10 1775)1 apci 140(3)  | ··   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly changes and amended in claims 38 and 43 raise new seues that require further consideration and search. The amended claims has overcome the rejection under USC 112 first paragraph but they are rejectable on the prior art.

HUY TOUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER